

# **EXHIBIT 1**

1                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3                   SOUTHERN DIVISION - SANTA ANA

4                   REAL ESTATE TRAINING                 ) Case No. SACV 14-546-AG (DFMx)  
5                   INTERNATIONAL, LLC,                 )  
6                   Plaintiff,                         ) Santa Ana, California  
7                   v.                                 ) Tuesday, November 3, 2015  
8                   THE NICK VERTUCCI COMPANIES, ) 10:29 A.M. to 11:21 A.M.  
9                   INC. et al.,                         )  
10                   Defendants.                         )  
11                   \_\_\_\_\_  
12

13                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
14                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS F. MC CORMACK,  
15                   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

16                   Appearances:                         See Page 2  
17                   Deputy Clerk:                         Terri Steele  
18                   Court Reporter:                         Recorded; CourtSmart  
19                   Transcription Service:                 JAMS Certified Transcription  
20   16000 Ventura Boulevard #1010  
21   Encino, California 91436  
22   (661) 609-4528  
23

24                   Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
25                   transcript produced by transcription service.

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3 For the Plaintiff:

Gordon & Rees, LLP  
By: JEFFREY D. CAWDREY, ESQ.  
BRITTANY L. MC CARTHY, ESQ.  
633 West Fifth Street, 52nd Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
(213) 576-5000  
[jcawdrey@gordonrees.com](mailto:jcawdrey@gordonrees.com)  
[bmccarthy@gordonrees.com](mailto:bmccarthy@gordonrees.com)

4

5

6

7

8 For the Defendants:

Spach, Capaldi & Waggaman, LLP  
By: MADISON S. SPACH, JR., ESQ.  
ANDREW D. TSU, ESQ.  
4675 MacArthur Court, Suite 550  
Newport Beach, California 92660  
(949) 852-0710  
[madison.spach@gmail.com](mailto:madison.spach@gmail.com)  
[andrewtsu@gmail.com](mailto:andrewtsu@gmail.com)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Akerman LLP  
By: CLINT A. CORRIE, ESQ.  
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2550  
Dallas, Texas 75201-2991  
(214) 720-4300  
[clint.corrie@akerman.com](mailto:clint.corrie@akerman.com)  
(Telephonic appearance)

17

18

19

20

Akerman LLP  
By: DAVID A. MEEK, ESQ.  
420 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1200  
Orlando, Florida 32801  
(407) 419-8547  
[david.meek@akerman.com](mailto:david.meek@akerman.com)  
(Telephonic appearance)

21

22

23

24

25

1 all we ask is your leave to go forward with that. We'll deal  
2 with the San Antonio courts if we have to to get that  
3 enforced. But we want your leave to go through with it  
4 because it is important that he be deposed. Under 30(b)(6)  
5 they have produced no one who's answered one question about  
6 key transactions that are critical to determining how  
7 realistic and how genuine the 16 pages of financial documents  
8 that they produced. To support a multimillion dollar claim,  
9 we've got 16 pages -- 16 pages of P&L's from 2010 to 2014.

10 THE COURT: All right. Well, I can shortcut this  
11 process. I will lift the stay with respect to Mr. Parr's  
12 deposition. I think that you have the right to go forward  
13 with the subpoena, and if Mr. Parr is going to stick with the  
14 objection that it's an untimely -- that it's untimely and/or  
15 Mr. Cawdrey is going to seek relief from -- you know, we'll  
16 have to sort of figure out how that's going to go. I'll  
17 leave it to Mr. Cawdrey to either seek it, or Mr. Parr can,  
18 basically, refuse to show up, and we can figure it out from  
19 there. But you have my authorization, and I'll lift the stay  
20 that I ordered previously. I think I did that simply to  
21 preserve the status quo so we could keep the ball rolling  
22 here.

23 MR. SPACH: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Cawdrey?

25 MR. CAWDREY: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

1           I just want to clarify the order. I continue to  
2 object to the deposition of Mr. Parr. We're hearing a lot of  
3 sandbagging going on. I just described for you some of the  
4 sandbagging on the other side.

5           The fact of the matter is Mr. Montelongo's  
6 deposition was taken on August 13th. They've had plenty of  
7 time to go after Mr. Parr -- that's the point here -- and  
8 they didn't go after Mr. Parr. I just want to clarify that  
9 this Court is not directing the deposition of Mr. Parr. This  
10 Court is simply lifting its stay against --

11           THE COURT: Correct.

12           MR. CAWDREY: -- the deposition of Mr. Parr.

13           THE COURT: Correct.

14           MR. CAWDREY: And we can then flesh out the issues  
15 down in, I guess, the Southern District of Texas.

16           THE COURT: Southern District of Texas. Correct.

17           MR. CAWDREY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

18           MR. SPACH: Are you, Your Honor, allowing -- saying  
19 that notwithstanding the fact that we ordered it on  
20 October 2nd, we can go forward with it?

21           THE COURT: No, I'm not saying that.

22           MR. SPACH: You're indicating that that will be the  
23 objection that has to be decided by the Texas court?

24           THE COURT: That's correct.

25           MR. SPACH: Okay. Just for the record.

1                   THE COURT: And if they want to transfer -- and if  
2 the parties want to transfer that or make a motion to  
3 transfer that here, I'll decide it, but if the magistrate  
4 judge or district judge in Texas feels that the record is  
5 adequate to allow him or her to decide it, they can do that  
6 as well. But I think that's the appropriate -- I mean,  
7 that's, necessarily, the place where a nonparty deposition  
8 issue has to be decided is in Texas, and to the extent that  
9 it was a lack of foresight on my part 30 days ago that  
10 allowed this issue to fester, then that's on me, but I was  
11 trying to sort of keep people out of trouble and when -- and  
12 preserve the status quo, but I think --

13                   MR. SPACH: I --

14                   THE COURT: -- I think we are where we are.

15                   MR. SPACH: I think one thing we can say,  
16 Your Honor, is that we have -- you're seeing the tip of the  
17 iceberg. We do have a lot of cooperation that has occurred,  
18 and that would not have occurred without the Court's  
19 intervention, and we appreciate --

20                   THE COURT: Not a problem.

21                   MR. SPACH: -- what the Court has done.

22                   THE COURT: Not a problem.

23                   Let's, then, talk about two other depositions with  
24 -- I think it's Ms. Birnie and Mr. Adams? Am I getting the  
25 genders correct?

1

2

3

4 CERTIFICATE

5 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript  
6 from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the  
7 above-entitled matter.

8

9 /s/ Julie Messa  
10 Julie Messa, CET\*\*D-403  
Transcriber

November 13, 2015  
Date

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25